



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/697,005	10/25/2000	Albert Evaraerts	56117 USA 1A	4526
75	90 05/06/2002			
Attention Lisa M McGeehan Office of Intellectual Property Counsel 3M Innovative Properties Company			EXAMINER	
			GALLAGHER, JOHN J	
P O Box 33427 St Paul, MN 55133-3427			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
or radi, mir	3133 3 127		1733	7
			DATE MAILED: 05/06/2002	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Group Art Unit
—The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet l	penesth the correspondence address
P riod for Reply	_	ericaur die correspondence address –
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET	T TO EXPIRE	MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE
OF THIS COMMUNICATION.		
 Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 C from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, If NO period for reply is specified above, such period shall, by de Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). 	, a reply within the statutory m fault, expire SIX (6) MONTHS t statute, cause the application	inimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. from the mailing date of this communication. to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
tatus		
☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on		•
☐ This action is FINAL.		
 Since this application is in condition for allowance exc accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1 		
Disposition of Claims	0	
Claim(s)	3	is/are pending in the application.
Of the above claim(s)		is/are withdrawn from consideration.
□ Claim(s)	0	is/are allowed.
☐ Claim(s)		
□ Claim(s)		is/are objected to.
☐ Claim(s)		are subject to restriction or election requirement
pplication Papers ☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on	in [] annual	• •
☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are ob	• •	• •
☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.	nected to by the Examine	
☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.	· •	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)–(d)	-	
☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign prioriti	tv under 35 U.S.C. & 119 (a)–(d).
□ All □ Some* □ None of the:	, and a colored g 110 (
☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have bee	en received.	
☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have bee	n received in Application	No
☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority docume	ents have been received	
in this national stage application from the Internation	• •	• •
*Certified copies not received:		•
tta hment(s)		
☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper	Int rvi w Summary, PTO-413	
☑ Notice of Ref rence(s) Cited, PTO-892	Notice of Informal Pat nt Application, PTO-15	
	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	

Office Acti n Summary

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 11/00)

Part of Paper No.

Serial No. 09/697,005 Art Unit 1733

- 1. Applicants' Preliminary Amendment, filed 22 March 2001, has been received and made of record.
- 2. Claims 10 and 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicants regard as the invention. Specifically, it is felt that something along the lines of "polymer" or "copolymer" or "resin" should be inserted after "(meth)acrylic, in line 3 of each of these claims, this last recited term being seen to be incomplete as now presented.
- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 1-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lohse taken in combination with McGrath et al.

Lohse discloses that it is known to employ an initially (i.e. at room temperature) non-tacky but ultimately heat activatable to a (delayed tack) tacky state resinous adhesive

Serial No. 09/697,005 Art Unit 1733

(e.g. (meth)acrylates, olefins, styrene copolymers etc.) in combination with a compatible solid plasticizer therefor to form an adhesive composition, which (a) plasticizer (1) may be either admixed with or applied (e.g. dusted) onto the resinous adhesive; and (2) apparently contributes to the development of tack in the resinous adhesive; and (b) composition may be applied to an (e.g. paper substrate) backing to form a tape or label which is subsequently adhered to another substrate. (Abstract, column 1 lines 35-43, 56-58 and N.B. lines 63-67, column 3 line 44 thru column 4 line 12, column 5 lines 33 and 53-60, column 6 lines 6-7 and 71-75).

McGrath et al. disclose a heat activatable, delayed tack adhesive composition of the type and similar to those of Lohse (Abstract, column 1 line 29 thru column 2 line 28, column 3 lines 34-38 and 58-64, column 4 lines 22-48) such that it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in this art to employ the plasticizer component of Lohse for its documented, beneficial tack contributing function and result in/in conjunction with the invention (i.e. adhesive composition) of McGrath et al.; further along this line note that McGrath et al. fairly and clearly provide (N.B. column 4 lines 22-35) for the inclusion of other i.e. known and conventional) additives (under which heading the plasticizers of Lohse are held to certainly be encompassed) in their compositions; in similar manner, it would have been obvious

Serial No. 09/697,005

Art Unit 1733

to one so skilled to employ the (over tackified) adhesive of McGrath et al. in the process of Lohse in place of the corresponding, analogous adhesive employed therein, mere substitution of one known initially non-tacky but ultimately usefully tackified adhesive for another (and in/from a most similar if not identical environment) being involved. Finally, regarding article-by-process claims 17-18, N.B. MPEP § 706.03(e).

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to J. J. Gallagher whose telephone number is (703) 308-1971. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F from approximately 8:30 A.M. to 5 P.M. The examiner can also be reached on alternate N/A.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Ball, can be reached on (703) 308-2058. The fax phone number for this Group is (703) 305-3599.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0661/0662.

JJGallagher:cdc
April 22, 2002

JOHN J. GALLAGHER PRIMARY EXAMINER ART UNIT まヨンラくう